

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

**Inventor(s):** DUFT, Bradford J., *et al.*  
**Appln. No.:** 08/870,762  
**Filed:** June 6, 1997  
**For:** *Methods for Treating Obesity*

**Art Unit:** 1645  
**Examiner:** DEVI, Sarvamangala  
**Atty. Docket:** 226/104US  
**Confirm. No.:** 7328

Mail Stop - Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**SUPPLEMENTAL AMENDMENT TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION**

This is a supplemental amendment to the Office Action mailed March 1, 2010 (Office Action), in connection with the above-identified application. Applicants note that a previous response (with amendments) to the Office Action was filed on August 2, 2010, which was accompanied by a petition for a two-month extension of time (EOT) and authorization to charge the requisite fees for the EOT. In view of the EOT that was filed along with the previous response and the payment of the requisite fee for the EOT, this supplemental amendment is timely filed. Applicants believe that no fees are due in connection with the filing of this supplemental response.

As requested by Examiner Devi Sarvamangala by telephonic interview on August 31, 2010, this supplemental amendment is being filed in order to correct an inadvertent formality style error contained in the previous claim amendment, in which deleted language from the amended claims was enclosed in single brackets “[ ]” rather than double brackets “[ [ ] ].” The inadvertent error has been corrected to enclose deleted language in the amended claims in double brackets “[ [ ] ]” as required by the MPEP. The content of the claims of this supplemental amendment is identical to the content of the previous amendment in all other respects.

**Amendments to the Claims** begin on page 2 of this response.

**Remarks** begin on page 6 of this response.